

A New Look at Fluid Dynamics
Y. Nambu, University of Chicago and Osaka University

Newtonian dynamics is a mapping of a point to another point, string theory in its

original form is a mapping of a line segment to another line segment. Fluid dynamics

may be regarded as a mapping of 3-D onto itself.

Incompressibility may be imposed as a subsidiary condition on the Jacobian for the change of volume elements. In this formulation, the multiplying factor in the

condition turns out to be the pressure.

$$L = \int (mv^2/2 - V - \lambda([x, y, z]_{\xi\eta\zeta} - 1)) d\xi d\eta d\zeta$$

original coordinates $\vec{\xi}$, present coordinates $\vec{x}(\vec{\xi})$
 $\lambda = \lambda(\xi) \quad [xyz]_{\xi\eta\zeta} = \partial(x, y, z)/\partial(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$

Equations of motion

$$m \ddot{\vec{x}} : + \partial_x V + [\lambda, y, z]_{\xi\eta\zeta} = 0$$

$$[\lambda, y, z]_{\xi\eta\zeta} = [x, y, z]_{\xi\eta\zeta} [\lambda, y, z]_{xyz} = \partial_x \lambda, \text{etc.}$$

First consider 2-D

$$[x, y, z]_{\xi\eta\zeta} = [x, y]$$

$$\text{div } \vec{v} = 0$$

This is a constraint of the first kind, *a la* Dirac, so to satisfy it automatically, write

$$- > \vec{v} = (\partial\varphi, -\partial\varphi)$$

$$\text{vorticity} = -\Delta\varphi$$

For steady stream $\partial_t\varphi = 0$, $\dot{x} = [x, \varphi]$ $\dot{y} = [y, \varphi]$

This has the same form as the Hamiltonian equation, with x, y being equivalent to the canonical pair q, p , and $[x, y]$ is the Poisson bracket. Formally one can quantize it.

This is an example of space quantization.

In 3-D one introduces two scalar fields φ, χ , and write

$$\dot{x} = [x, \varphi, \chi]. \text{etc} \quad (\varphi \vec{\nabla} \chi \text{ is called Clebsch potential.})$$

For n-D, introduce n-1 scalars, $\dot{O} = [O, \varphi, \chi, \dots]$

This is an example of the Nambu's many Hamiltonian formalism.

The physical meaning of φ, χ, \dots in n-D: $\varphi = \text{constant}$ is a (n-1)-D manifold.

The intersection of all φ 's is a point.

Further topics

Compressible fluids

Additive set of compatible stream functions in 2-D

vortex ensemble
 Speculations on Titius-Bode's law and space quantization

References

Y. Nambu, Phys. Rev. D7(1973) 2412

L. Nottale, Astron. Astrophys. 315 (1996) L-9

Nottale *et al.* Astron. Astrophys. 322 (1997) 1018

V. Locatini *et al.* Rev Geophysics (to be published)\

J. Cresson(Laboratoire de Mathematique de Pau, Universite de Pau)
The stochastic hypothesis and the spacing of planetary systems

1. A New Look at Fluid Dynamics

Y. Nambu, Universty of Chicago and Osaka University —

Newtonian dynamics is a mapping of a point to another point, string theory
 in its

original form is a mapping of a line segment to another line segment. Fluid
 dynamics may be regarded as a mapping of 3-D onto itself.

Incompressibility may be imposed as a subsidiary condition on the Jacobian
 for the change of volume elements. In this formulation, the multiplying
 factor in the

condition turns out to be the pressure.

$$L = \int (mv^2/2 - V - \lambda([x, y, z]_{\xi\eta\zeta} - 1)) d\xi d\eta d\zeta$$

original coordinates $\vec{\xi}$, present coordinates $\vec{x}(\vec{\xi})$

$$\lambda = \lambda(\vec{\xi}) \quad [xyz]_{\xi\eta\zeta} == \partial(x, y, z)/\partial(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$$

Equations of motion From $\delta x, \delta y, \delta z$.and integrating by parts,

$$m \ddot{\vec{x}} : + \partial_x V + [\lambda, y, z]_{\xi\eta\zeta} = 0 \quad [\lambda, y, z]_{\xi\eta\zeta} = [x, y, z]_{\xi\eta\zeta} [\lambda, y, z]_{xyz} = \partial_x \lambda, \text{etc.}$$

First consider 2-D

$$[x, y, z] - > [x, y] \quad \text{div } \vec{v} = 0$$

The constraint is of the first kind (*a la* Dirac), so to satisfy it automatically,

introduce a scalar field φ , and write

$$- > \vec{v} == (\partial\varphi, -\partial\varphi)$$

$$\text{vorticity} = \partial_x v_y - \partial_y v_x = -\Delta\varphi$$

For steady stream $\partial_t \varphi = 0$,

$$\dot{x} = [x, \varphi] \quad \dot{y} = [y, \varphi]$$

This has the same form as the Hamiltonian equation.

x, y is equivalent to the canonical pair q, p , and $[x, y]$ is the Poisson bracket, φ is the Hamiltonian.

So formally one can quantize it.

This is an example of *space quantization*.

In 3-D one introduces two scalar fields φ, χ , and write

$$\dot{x} = [x, \varphi, \chi]. \text{ etc } (\varphi \vec{\nabla} \chi \text{ is called Clebsch potential. } \vec{C}, \quad \text{curl } \vec{C} = \vec{v}$$

For n-D, introduce n-1 scalars, $\dot{O} = [O, \varphi, \chi, \dots]$

This is an example of the Nambu's many Hamiltonian formalism.

The physical meaning of φ, χ, \dots In n-D: $\varphi = \text{const}$ is a (n-1)D manifold.

The intersection of all φ 's is a 1D vector, the velocity.

Many Hamiltonian formalism (in a more general way) seems to be used in fluid dynamics.

In point dynamics, motion is determined when the force is given. In fluid dynamics,

the pressure is not *a priori* known. The motion of the fluid determines the pressure.

But the motion of the individual particles cannot be arbitrary in order to satisfy the

constraint. Since the acceleration $\vec{\dot{v}}$ is equal to the gradient of a scalar, it must

$$\text{satisfy } \text{curl } \vec{\dot{v}} = 0.$$

$$\text{For 2D, } (D/Dt)\Delta\varphi = (\partial/\partial t)\Delta\varphi + [\Delta\varphi, \varphi] = 0$$

$$\text{and from } [x, y] = \text{const}, \quad [\ddot{x}, y] + [x, \ddot{y}] = -2[\dot{x}, \dot{y}]$$

Thus for steady flow, $[\Delta\varphi, \varphi] = 0, \quad \underline{\rightarrow \Delta\varphi = F(\phi)}$
If $F = 0, \Delta\varphi = 0, \varphi$ is conformal..

A uniformly rotating fluid (as seen from a rotating frame) is described by

$$\Delta\varphi = c, \quad \varphi = cr^2/2, \quad ,$$

For 3D the condition on φ, χ is similar. With the Clebsch potential \vec{C} ,

$$v = \nabla \times C, \quad \dot{v} = (\nabla \times \dot{C}) = [(\nabla \times C), \varphi, \chi]$$

$$\text{The condition becomes } 0 = \nabla \times \dot{v} = \nabla \times (\nabla \times \dot{C}) = \Delta \dot{C},$$

Since $C = \nabla\varphi \times \nabla\chi$,

$$\underline{\Delta(\nabla\varphi \times \nabla\chi) = F(\varphi, \chi)},$$

Vorticity $\vec{\chi} = \Delta(\nabla\varphi \times \nabla\chi)$ is a conserved quantity (along the stream).

Example 1, in 2D. $\varphi = c \ln r$. $|v| = c/|r|$. φ is the 2D Coulomb potential. This is a vortex around the origin. Positive c corresponds to anti-clockwise motion. The singularity at the origin can be interpreted as the core of the vortex or a point boundary of the fluid which does not move. If φ is Yukawa-like, $F = -m^2\varphi$, $\varphi = j_0(mr)$, a Bessel function.

Example 2 $\varphi = cy$ steady horizontal flow with velocity c
 Example 3 $\varphi = v \sin kx \exp(ky) - y + c$ horizontal wave pattern with decreasing amplitude downwards. There must be a surface where pressure is 0.

An additive set of compatible vortices.
 Can one add two φ s and still satisfy the compatibility?

$\Delta(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) = F(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2)$?
 This will be the case if $F = 0$, or $F = m^2\varphi$ with the same m .
 For example, one can talk about an ensemble of vortices

$$\varphi = \sum c_n \ln |r - r_n|,$$

$$\varphi = \sum c_n j_0(m|r - r_n|)$$

The velocity at each point is the sum of contributions from all vortex cores, The cores themselves are fixed in space. If they move with the stream, it is not a steady motion but it is permissible. For example, consider two vortices of equal vorticity. They circle about each other; if the pair is equal and opposite; the two will move together on a straight line perpendicular to the pair.

Relativistic form

The kinetic energy density in the action is replaced by
 $mc^2 ds = -mc^2 \sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2} dt$, The Jacobian suffers a Lorentz contraction $\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$, so
 $L = -(mc^2 + \lambda) \sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2} + \lambda[x, y, z]$

Thus the pressure λ is a Lorentz scalar. If the system is at rest in a frame, with an external vector field balancing against the pressure, in another frame the Lorentz transformed vector will balance the same λ . It also means that fluid with matter density m acquires an additional mass λ/c^2 . The equation of state in an arbitrary frame becomes $pV = RT \sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$

A consequence of this is the following. A matter in gas and liquid phase with the same density at the same temperature (say at the transition point) should have different weights. In a gas, there is the pressure term λ/c^2 . For water at $100^\circ C$ this is a 10^{-13} correction.

Compressible fluids

If the fluid is compressible, density depends on pressure, for example $pV = kT$, or $pV^\gamma = \text{const}$. So the volume factor $[x, y, z]V$ depends on λ . In this way the equations of motion become nonlinear functions of λ , as does the compatibility condition

For $pV = kT$, or $[x, y, z] = kT/\lambda$

$$L = \frac{1}{2}v^2 + \lambda([x, y, z]_{\xi\eta\zeta} - kT \ln \lambda),$$

$$[\lambda, y, z] = \partial_x \lambda / kT \lambda = \partial_x (\ln \lambda) / kT$$

2D quantization and Titius-Bode law.

As mentioned above, the 2D equation of motion $\dot{O} = [O, \varphi]_{xy}$ has the same form

as the canonical equation $\dot{O} = [O, H]_{pq}$, x, y being equivalent to p, q .

A difference in interpretation is that the variables in H are the canonical coordinates of a single particle whereas they are the actual values for the collection of particles

But it naturally suggests "quantization" with some "Planck's constant k ". So $r^2 = x^2 + y^2 = 2kn$ when quantized.

One is immediately reminded of the celebrated Titius-Bode law, more commonly

known as the Bode's law regarding the regularity of the planetary orbits around the

sun The semi-major axes R_n of the planets are given by

$$R_n = 0.4 + 0.3 \times 2^n, n = -\infty, 0.1, 2, \dots$$

taken the earth's value as the unit. The moons around a planet also follow a similar

pattern. A generic form can be expressed as

$$r_n = c \exp(kn)$$

The factors of 2 for the solar system suggest a bifurcation process,

\wedge



but it is hard to imagine such a process in the formation of planets.. So I will start

from the following picture.

First consider a collection (or gas) of planets around a central "sun" orbiting around it. Assuming circular orbits for simplicity, the acceleration is v^2/r , the attractive force is GMm/r^2 . so $v = \sqrt{GM/r}$, the angular velocity $\omega = \sqrt{GM/r^3}$, the stream function is $\varphi = 2\sqrt{GM}r$

Next consider a compressible gas of planets at rest around a sun. Its pressure p is

balanced against the central force and the attraction generated by other constituents. An equation of state for the gas determines the density ρ which in

turn produces a gravitational potential $-G\rho$. For an isothermal relation $pV = kT$,

the equation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} kT\rho(r) &= GM/r + Gm \int (\rho(r)/|r - r'|)(dr')^3 \\ \Delta\rho(r) &= -4\pi\delta(r) - (4\pi Gm/kT)\rho(r) \\ - > \rho &= \exp(-4\pi Gm/kT\rho(r) + GM/(kTr)) \end{aligned}$$

Now let us give it a spin as a whole with angular velocity Ω around the z axis. The

centrifugal force $-m\rho r\Omega^2$ will reduce pressure and density, and the system

will

flatten An equation of state for the gas determines the density ρ which in turn

produces a gravitational potential $-G\rho$.

For an isothermal relation $pV = kT$, the equation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} p(r) &= kT\rho = GM/r + Gm \int \rho/[\vec{R} - \vec{r}'](dR)^3 - \frac{1}{2}Gm\rho(x^2 + y^2)\Omega^2 \\ \Delta[kT + \frac{1}{2}Gm(x^2 + y^2)\Omega] &= -4\pi GM\delta^3(r) \end{aligned}$$

Writing $kT + \frac{1}{2}Gm(x^2 + y^2)\Omega = X$.

$$\Delta(\rho X) = -Gm\rho - GM\delta^3(r) = (Gm\rho X)X^{-1}$$

$$X^{-1} \sim \frac{1}{kT} - \frac{1}{2}Gm(x^2 + y^2)\Omega/(kT)^2$$

The solution is

$$\rho \sim C \exp[-\frac{1}{2}Gm(x^2 + y^2)\Omega](\frac{1}{kT} - \frac{1}{2}Gm(x^2 + y^2)\Omega/(kT)^2)$$

where the medium is effectively regarded as 2D.

Now the quasi-quantization gives $x^2 + y^2 = 2kn$, so the volume $1/\rho$ takes the form

of the Bode's law. essentially,

The numerical values are estimated as

$$\ln 2 = 0.69315,$$

$$[x, y]_{\xi\eta} = F_\lambda = V = kT/p. \quad [\lambda, i]_{\xi\eta} = F' \partial_x \lambda = \partial_x F \rightarrow F = p$$

$$\rightarrow F = kT/F \quad FF' = kT \rightarrow F^2 = 2kT\lambda \quad F = p = \sqrt{2kT\lambda}$$

gravity G

$$\text{earth accel } g \quad 10^3 \quad \text{cm/sec/sec}$$

$$= Gm/r^2$$

$$\text{earth radius } r = 6 \cdot 10^5 \text{ cm}$$

$$\text{earth vol} \quad \frac{4\pi}{3} (6 \cdot 10^5)^3 = 10^{18} \text{ cm}^3$$

$$\text{earth mass} \quad 5 \cdot 10^{18} \text{ gm}$$

$$\rightarrow g = Gm/r^2 = G \cdot 5 \cdot 10^{18} / 4 \cdot 10^{11} \sim G \cdot 10^7$$

$$\rightarrow G = 10^{-4}$$

$$Gm = 5 \cdot 10^{14}$$

$$\rightarrow Gm\Omega / (kT)^2 = 0.7$$

$$\Omega = 5 \cdot 10^{-8}$$

$$\rightarrow (kT)^2 = 3 \cdot 10^7 \quad kT \sim 3 \cdot 10^3$$

for ordinary matter 10^{-4}

References

Y. Nambu, Phys. Rev. D7(1973) 2412

L. Nottale, Astron. Astrophys. 315 (1996) L-9

Nottale *et al.* Astrn. Astrophys. 322 (1997) 1018

V. Locatini *et al.* Rev Geophysics (to be published)\

J. Cresson (Laboratoire de Mathematique de Pau, Universite de Pau)

The stochastic hypothesis and the spacing of planetary systems